

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat nt and Trademark Office

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			
		HEPVLER		S	2972US (92-04	
			コ	EXAMINER		
P::11/011			•	NGUYEN, T		
	- 1 7.7224			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	• -	•			12	
				3651	~	
				DATE MAILED:		
					01/12/99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

<del></del>		·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	08/715869			<u>'</u>	
<i>y</i>	Examiner Tuan Va		Group Art Unit		
	(	) 0			
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	orrespondence add	iress	
Pri d for Response	. 0	(-)			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>Thal</u>	(3) MONT	H(S) FROM THE		
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) of the third thirty (30) of the thirty (30) of thi</li></ul>	days, a response within the statute y default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ory minimum of the Strom the mailing	nirty (30) days will be co	nsidered time	
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Oct. 8 1998	7			
This action is FINAL.	,		-	_ '	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,			the merits is close	ed in	
Disp sition of Claims					
© Claim(s) 1-32		is/are p	_ is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are v	_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
$\bowtie$ Claim(s) $1-4$	is/are a	is/are allowed			
Claim(s) 5 - 32	is/are r	is/are rejected			
24(-)		•			
□ Claim(s)			4		
☐ Claim(s)				-14:	
☐ Claim(s)			oject to restriction or	election	
☐ Claim(s)		are sub	oject to restriction or	election	
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review, PTO-948.	are sul require	oject to restriction or ment.	election	
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  ★ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948. , 1998 is ⊠ápproved	are sul require	oject to restriction or ment.	election	
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948. , 1998 is ⊠ápproved	are sul require	oject to restriction or ment.	election	
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948.  1992 is Approved bjected to by the Examiner.	are sul require	oject to restriction or ment.	election	
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948.  1992 is Approved bjected to by the Examiner.	are sul require	oject to restriction or ment.	election	
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948.  1992 is Approved bjected to by the Examiner.	are sul require	oject to restriction or ment.	election	
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948.  1992 is Approved bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-	are sub require ☐ disapproved	oject to restriction or ment.	election	
Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948.  1999 is Approved bjected to by the Examiner.  or.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- s of the priority documents had been	are sub require  ☐ disapproved  ·(d).  ave been	oject to restriction or ment.	election	
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948.  , 1998 is Approved bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- s of the priority documents have been been bloomed bureau (PCT International Bureau (PCT Intern	are subrequire  □ disapproved  •(d).  ave been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).	pject to restriction or ment.	election	
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948.  , 1998 is Approved bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- s of the priority documents have been been bloomed bureau (PCT International Bureau (PCT Intern	are subrequire  □ disapproved  •(d).  ave been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).	pject to restriction or ment.	election	
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948.  1998 is Approved bjected to by the Examiner.  In the priority documents have been been been been been been been be	are sub require  ☐ disapproved  ·(d). ave been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).	pject to restriction or ment.	election	
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948.  1999 is Approved bjected to by the Examiner.  by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- by of the priority documents have been bloomed bureau (PCT International Bureau (PCT International Bureau)	are subrequire  □ disapproved  (d). ave been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).	pject to restriction or ment.		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)



Application/Control Number: 08/715,869

Art Unit: 3651

1. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 5, line 7, the language "capable of is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchinson, 69 USPQ 138.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 7-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tateno.

Tateno discloses an integrated circuit testing apparatus and a method for testing an integrated circuit leaving an integrated circuit singulation station 20. The apparatus and method comprise a receiving apparatus 30 positioning to receive untested integrated circuits from the singulation station; a testing apparatus 44 positioning to receive the untested integrated circuits from the receiving apparatus and test the circuits; the testing apparatus including a holding station 50, a first position and a second position; and a separating apparatus 60 to separate defective integrated circuits from non-defective integrated circuits.

4. Claims 1-4 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 08/715,869

Art Unit: 3651

5. Claims 5 and 6 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

6. Applicant's arguments filed on Oct. 8, 1998 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Responding to applicant's remarks, applicant has alleged that the language "capable of is definite. However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. According to a dictionary, "capable of" defines as a means capable performs a function. There is no positively recitation.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Tuan Nguyen at telephone number (703) 308-3664.

Nguyen/oc December 21, 1998 Evan Mguyen 1/11/99

Page 3

PATE TO THE